

# Dynamic Simulation of Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System

R. W. Harmsen  
B. D. Zimmerman

Date Published  
April 1996

To Be Presented at  
1996 SCS Summer Computer Simulation Conference  
Portland, Oregon  
July 21-25, 1996

To Be Published in  
*Proceedings for the 1996 SCS Summer  
Computer Simulation Conference*

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Environmental Restoration and  
Waste Management



**Westinghouse**  
**Hanford Company**

P.O. Box 1970  
Richland, Washington

Hanford Operations and Engineering Contractor for the  
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

---

Copyright License By acceptance of this article, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the  
U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper.

Approved for Public Release

**LEGAL DISCLAIMER**

---

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

---

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

DISCLM-2.CHP (1-91)

5-3-96

# INFORMATION RELEASE REQUEST - (Long Form)

(GRAY SHADED AREAS NOT TO BE FILLED IN BY INITIATOR)

1. COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR ALL DOCUMENTS

**A. Information Category**

Speech or Presentation

Full Paper  
 Summary  
 Abstract  
 Visual Aid  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

Journal Article  
 Multimedia Presentation  
 Software

**B. Document ID Number (include rev., vol., etc.)**

WHC-SA-3044-FP

**C. List attachments (i.e., copyright permission, copyright transfer)**

Copyright Transfer Form

**D. Document Title**

Dynamic Simulation Of The Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System

**E. WHC Project or Program**

TWRS

**F. New or novel (patentable) subject matter?** If "Yes", has disclosure been submitted by WHC?  No or Yes  
 No or Yes If "Yes", Disclosure No(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**G. Information received from others in confidence, such as proprietary data, and/or inventions?**  No or Yes If "Yes", contact WHC General Counsel.

**H. Copyrights?**  No or Yes If "Yes", attach permission.

**I. Trademarks?**  No or Yes If "Yes", identify in document.

2. COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR ALL DOCUMENTS REQUIRING SUBMISSION TO OSTI

**A. Unclassified Category** UC -

**B. Budget & Reporting Code** B&R -

3. COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY FOR A JOURNAL SUBMISSION

**A. Title of Journal**

4. COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY FOR A SPEECH OR PRESENTATION

**A. Title for Conference or Meeting** SCSC'96

**B. Group or Society Sponsoring** Society For Computer Simulation

**C. Date(s) of Conference or Meeting** July 21-25

**D. City/State** Portland, Oregon

**E. Will material be published in proceedings?**  No or Yes

**Will material be handed out?**  No or Yes

5. REVIEWS

Reviewers	Yes	Signature indicates Approval as Requested unless otherwise indicated Name (print)	Signature/Date	Limited-Use Info.
General Counsel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C. Willingham	Chris Willingham	<input type="checkbox"/>
DOE-RL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Daniel J. Francis	D - 92 5/11/96	<input type="checkbox"/>
Communications	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>
Applied Technology-Export Controlled Information or International Program	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>

**6. Applied Technology Material Referenced**

No  Yes

**7. Release Level**

Public  Limited Distribution

**8. Author/Requestor**

Robert W. Harmsen April 23, 1996

Robert W. Harmsen  
(Print and Sign) Date


**9. Responsible Manager**

Nabil G. Awadalla April 23, 1996

Nabil G. Awadalla  
(Print and Sign) Date

INFORMATION RELEASE ADMINISTRATION APPROVAL

IRA Approval is required before release. Release is contingent upon resolution of mandatory comments. NOTE: This block for IRA use only.



Date Cancelled \_\_\_\_\_ Date Disapproved \_\_\_\_\_



## DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF THE HANFORD TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM

Robert W. Harmsen  
Bruce D. Zimmerman  
Westinghouse Hanford Company  
Richland, Washington 99352

### KEY WORDS

Chemical engineering, government, nuclear engineering, hybrid simulation, system dynamics.

### ABSTRACT

*Cleaning up and disposing of approximately 50 years of nuclear waste is the main mission at the U.S. Department of Energy's Hanford Nuclear Reservation, located in the southeastern part of the state of Washington. A major element of the total cleanup effort involves retrieving, processing, and disposing of radioactive and hazardous waste stored in 177 underground storage tanks. This effort, referred to as the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS), is expected to cost billions of dollars and take approximately 25 years to complete. Several computer simulations of this project are being created, focusing on both programmatic and detailed engineering issues. This paper describes one such simulation activity, using the itthink™1 computer simulation software.*

*The itthink™ simulation includes a representation of the complete TWRS cleanup system, from retrieval of waste through intermediate processing and final vitrification of waste for disposal. Major issues addressed to date by the simulation effort include the need for new underground storage tanks to support TWRS activities, and the estimated design capacities for various processing facilities that are required to support legally mandated program commitment dates. This paper discusses how the simulation was used to investigate these questions.*

*itthink™* is a registered trademark of High Performance Systems, Inc. of Hanover, New Hampshire.

### INTRODUCTION

The Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) is responsible for retrieving radioactive and hazardous waste from 177 underground storage tanks, and processing and converting this waste to high-level radioactive waste (HLW) and low-level radioactive waste (LLW) glass for safe long-term storage. This clean up system must satisfy commitments among the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) as documented in the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement) (Ecology et al. 1996).

The dynamic simulation includes retrieval of waste from tanks, sodium molarity and dilution adjustments, chemical pretreatment (or separations), evaporative concentration, in-process storage, and vitrification (conversion to glass). The model investigates proper facility sizing, as well as timing and sequencing of operational activities for multiple scenarios. Predetermined waste retrieval sequences from 149 single-shell tanks (SST), including special programs such as interim stabilization liquid pumping sequences (required for near-term removal of liquids from SSTs known or suspected to be leaking) are followed. Waste is received from the SSTs into 28 double-shell tanks (DST) for later retrieval for processing on an established schedule. The 28 DSTs are used for all short-term storage and process support needs. SSTs are used only for long-term storage.

Major constraints include various Tri-Party Agreement commitment dates for retrieval and processing, limited in-process storage space from the 28 DSTs, and resource commitments to the waste treatment privatization program.



interval ("HLW in Demo Sampl Intrvl" and "HLW in Sampl Intrvl") typically 18 months long. Note that the conveyor modeling element is used to take a sequence of tanks through each tank's sampling interval.

Upon completing its sampling interval, a tank's contents immediately becomes suitable glass plant feed. Twelve lag storage tanks (DSTs) are dynamically allocated by the model for staging feed for the five glass plants. Figure 2 shows allocation of the tanks among the glass plants over the operating interval. The values include tanks allocated to privatization use. Curve 3 shows tanks containing LLW while Curve 4 shows tanks holding HLW. Only one feed tank is available at a time for each demonstration plant. Up to four HLW tanks may be allocated to LLW lag storage during lulls in HLW retrieval and processing.

A dominant parameter in the clean up system's behavior is the 18-month sampling delay before material is acceptable as glass plant feed. During this interval, government regulators verify that waste

constituents are acceptable; and plant operators obtain the proper glass formers, or frit, to match the feed. The model uses a "just-in-time" approach to assigning a sampling tank for the HLW demonstration glass plant. This approach estimates future need time for a sampling tank based on current HLW glass plant backlog.

Control panels, created by the simulation programmer, aid in preparing parametric studies. The simulation software will generate sensitivity runs automatically, but the control panels help the operator locate parameters for analysis. The control panel for evaporators and pretreatment is shown in Figure 3. Specified evaporator exit molarities determine amount of concentration (hence water recycle and processing time) required. Sludge wash settling time is another dominant parameter that can be controlled by the operator but within limits allowed by natural laws. Plant capacities and rates are frequent subjects of parametric studies.

The sludge wash pretreatment process does reasonably well at separating LLW, such as slightly




Figure 2 Tank Usage Vs. Time -- Three Operational Demonstration Glass Plants




Figure 3 CONTROL PANEL FOR EVAPORATORS AND PRETREATMENT PROCESSES

radioactive sodium nitrate and aluminum ion, from HLW leaving behind insoluble strontium-90 and heavy metals in the solids. But the process generates huge volumes of LLW wash liquid. This in turn requires large evaporator capacities and large quantities of tanks to be allocated to LLW lag storage. The model rigorously accounts for each tank and for the hundreds of millions of liters of recycle water.

Validation of the model is performed by comparing material flows at key points with values on a static process flowsheet developed by process engineers. Also, internal mass balance checks are made during each simulation run.

**RESULTS**

Initially, the model was used to validate a decision to cancel a project for building six additional DSTs. The model showed that, if the rate of waste retrieval from the SSTs was fairly constant from 2004 (month 120) through 2018 (month 299), then the Tri-Party Agreement commitments could be met with no new underground tanks. Also, needed design capacities for new processing facilities were

established, and optimal allocation of the DST lag storage resource was determined.

The advent of privatization meant that the model had to carefully stretch the scarce DST resource even further. The model explored the impacts of allocating DSTs to the private vendors against the benefits of early glass production. The impacts of multiple private vendor campaigns were assessed. Just-in-time use of tanks for regulatory agency sampling and evaluation was effectively utilized.

Initial (unvalidated) results from the model indicate that the TWRS can meet the commitments of the Tri-Party Agreement and privatization under the following conditions:

- \*All 28 DSTs are committed to the TWRS clean up mission
- \*The HLW demonstration plant has no more than three one-million gallon campaigns and uses just-in-time availability for the sampling tank

\*The LLW demonstration plants are operated before and after but not during the peak demand for LLW lag storage, 2008 through 2009 (months 156 through 184).

significant because a DST deficit would mean that the system can not operate. The system then steadily works off the accumulated glass plant feed. The final glass log is completed in 2026 (month 386).

Figure 4 shows the result of applying these very reasonable conditions. In this figure, negative gallon values on the vertical axis represent a tank space surplus, positive values represent a tank space deficit. Beginning in December 2000 (83 months from the simulation start date), DST tank usage is declining until 2011 (month 213) because DST waste is being retrieved, concentrated, and converted to glass. Then, DST usage rapidly increased due to maximum rate SST retrieval. The DST surplus reaches zero in 2017 (month 279). This is

Additional model programming and verification work is underway.

**REFERENCES**

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1996, Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, 2 vols., as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.




Figure 4 Tank Usage Versus Time with Three Demonstration Glass Plants

**DISTRIBUTION**Number of copiesONSITE

1	<u>U.S. Department of Energy. Richland Operations Office</u>	
	D. J. Francis	K6-51
1	<u>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</u>	
	W. G. Richmond	H6-51
21	<u>Westinghouse Hanford Company</u>	
	N. G. Awadalla	H6-35
	P. J. Certa	H5-61
	B. C. Gneiting	H6-35
	C. E. Grenard	H5-61
	R. W. Harmsen (5)	H6-35
	B. J. Knutson	H6-35
	A. K. Lee	H6-33
	R. M. Orme	H5-27
	D. L. Penwell	H5-27
	J. D. Thomson	H6-35
	B. D. Zimmerman (5)	H6-35
	Central Files	A3-88
	Document Processing Center	A3-94