S

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE

Page 1 of 2

1. ECN 644875

Proj. ECN

2. ECN Category (mark one)	3. Originator's Name, Organization, MSIN, and Telephone No.	4. USQ Required?	5. Date
Supplemental [] Direct Revision [X] Change ECN [] Temporary [] Standby [] Supersedure [] Cancel/Void []	J. H. Baldwin, Tank Waste Retrieval & Disposal, H5-03, 373-4533	[] Yes [X] No	1-9-98
	6. Project Title/No./Work Order No. Waste Feed Delivery	7. Bldg./Sys./Fac. No. N/A	8. Approval Designator N/A
	9. Document Numbers Changed by this ECN (includes sheet no. and rev.) HNF-1945, Rev. 0	10. Related ECN No(s). N/A	11. Related PO No. N/A

12a. Modification Work	12b. Work Package No.	12c. Modification Work Complete	12d. Restored to Original Condition (Temp. or Standby ECN only)
[] Yes (fill out Blk. 12b) [X] No (NA Blks. 12b, 12c, 12d)	N/A	N/A Design Authority/Cog. Engineer Signature & Date	N/A Design Authority/Cog. Engineer Signature & Date

13a. Description of Change

Minor text changes

13b. Design Baseline Document? [] Yes [X] No

14a. Justification (mark one)

Criteria Change	[X]	Design Improvement	[]	Environmental	[]	Facility Deactivation	[]
As-Found	[]	Facilitate Const	[]	Const. Error/Omission	[]	Design Error/Omission	[]

14b. Justification Details

For support of the TWRS Readiness To Proceed.

15. Distribution (include name, MSIN, and no. of copies)

See attached Distribution Sheet

RELEASE STAMP

JAN 09 1998

DATE:

STA: 37

HANFORD RELEASE

ID: 20

A-7900-013-2 (05/96) GEF095

A-7900-013-1

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE	Page 2 of 2	1. ECN (use no. from pg. 1) 644875

16. Design Verification Required	17. Cost Impact N/A				18. Schedule Impact (days) N/A
	ENGINEERING		CONSTRUCTION		
[] Yes	Additional	[] $	Additional	[] $	Improvement []
[] No	Savings	[] $	Savings	[] $	Delay []

19. Change Impact Review: Indicate the related documents (other than the engineering documents identified on Side 1) that will be affected by the change described in Block 13. Enter the affected document number in Block 20.

SDD/DD	[]	Seismic/Stress Analysis	[]	Tank Calibration Manual	[]
Functional Design Criteria	[]	Stress/Design Report	[]	Health Physics Procedure	[]
Operating Specification	[]	Interface Control Drawing	[]	Spares Multiple Unit Listing	[]
Criticality Specification	[]	Calibration Procedure	[]	Test Procedures/Specification	[]
Conceptual Design Report	[]	Installation Procedure	[]	Component Index	[]
Equipment Spec.	[]	Maintenance Procedure	[]	ASME Coded Item	[]
Const. Spec.	[]	Engineering Procedure	[]	Human Factor Consideration	[]
Procurement Spec.	[]	Operating Instruction	[]	Computer Software	[]
Vendor Information	[]	Operating Procedure	[]	Electric Circuit Schedule	[]
OM Manual	[]	Operational Safety Requirement	[]	ICRS Procedure	[]
FSAR/SAR	[]	IEFD Drawing	[]	Process Control Manual/Plan	[]
Safety Equipment List	[]	Cell Arrangement Drawing	[]	Process Flow Chart	[]
Radiation Work Permit	[]	Essential Material Specification	[]	Purchase Requisition	[]
Environmental Impact Statement	[]	Fac. Proc. Samp. Schedule	[]	Tickler File	[]
Environmental Report	[]	Inspection Plan	[]		[]
Environmental Permit	[]	Inventory Adjustment Request	[]	N/A	[]

20. Other Affected Documents: (NOTE: Documents listed below will not be revised by this ECN.) Signatures below indicate that the signing organization has been notified of other affected documents listed below.

Document Number/Revision	Document Number/Revision	Document Number Revision
N/A		

21. Approvals

	Signature	Date		Signature	Date
Design Authority	R.E. Raymond	1/9/98	Design Agent	N/A	
Cog. Eng.	N/A		PE		
Cog. Mgr.	N/A		QA		
QA	N/A		Safety		
Safety	N/A		Design		
Environ.	N/A		Environ.		
Other	N/A		Other		
Author	J. H. Baldwin	1/9/98			
Author Mgr	R.L. Treat	1/9/98			

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Signature or a Control Number that tracks the Approval Signature N/A

ADDITIONAL

Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions

J. H. Baldwin
Lockheed Martin Hanford Company, Richland, WA 99352
U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: 644875 UC: 2030
Org Code: 73600 Charge Code: D215P
B&R Code: EW3130010 Total Pages: 38

Key Words: TWRS, Retrieval and Disposal Mission, Enabling Assumptions

Abstract: An overall systsems approach has been applied to develop action plans to support the retrieval and immobilization waste disposal mission. The review concluded that the systems and infrastructure required to support the mission are known. Required systems are either in place, or plans have been developed to ensure they exist when needed.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: WHC/BCS Document Control Services, P.O. Box 1970, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

JAN 09 1998
DATE:
HANFORD RELEASE
STA: 37
ID: 20

V. L. Berkland 1/9/98
Release Approval Date

Release Stamp

Approved for Public Release

RECORD OF REVISION	(1) Document Number HNF-1945	Page 1

(2) Title Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions

CHANGE CONTROL RECORD

(3) Revision	(4) Description of Change - Replace, Add, and Delete Pages	Authorized for Release (5) Cog. Engr.	(6) Cog. Mgr.	Date
0	(7) EDT-609272, 1/5/98	JH Baldwin	RL Treat	
1 RS	Complete revision, per ECN-644875.	JH Baldwin SRB	RL Treat RLT	3/9/98

A-7320-005 (08/91) WEF168

HNF-1945
Revision 1
UC-510

Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions

J. H. Baldwin
Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation

R. D. Potter
T. J. McLaughlin
R. L. Treat
MACTEC

Date Published
January 1998

Prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation
Richland, Washington
Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy

Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
P.O. Box 1000
Richland, Washington

Hanford Management and Integration Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC-0696-RL13200

Approved for Public Release; Further Dissemination Unlimited

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.
Available in paper copy and microfiche.

Available to the U.S. Department of Energy
and its contractors from
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
(615) 576-8401

Available to the public from the
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service (NTIS)
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
(703) 487-4650

Printed in the United States of America

DISCLM-1.CHP (8-95)

This page intentionally left blank.

Document Title: Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions

Approved by: H. L. Boston, Vice President
Tank Waste Retrieval
Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation

1/9/98
Date

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An overall systems approach has been applied to develop action plans to support the retrieval and immobilization waste disposal mission. The review concluded that the systems and infrastructure required to support the mission are known. Required systems are either in place or plans have been developed to ensure they exist when needed. The review showed that since October 1996 a robust system engineering approach to establishing integrated Technical Baselines, work breakdown structures, tank farm structure and configurations and work scope and costs has established itself as part of the culture within TWRS. An analysis of the programmatic, management and technical activities necessary to declare readiness to proceed with execution of the mission demonstrates that the system, people and hardware will be on line and ready to support the private contractors.

The systems approach included defining the retrieval and immobilized waste disposal mission requirements and evaluating the readiness of the TWRS contractor to supply waste feed to the private contractors in June 2002. The Phase 1 feed delivery requirements from the Private Contractor Request for Proposals were reviewed. Transfer piping routes were mapped out, existing systems were evaluated, and upgrade requirements were defined. Technical Basis Reviews were completed to define work scope in greater detail, cost estimates and associated year by year financial analyses were completed. TWRS personnel training, qualifications, management systems and procedures were reviewed and shown to be in place and ready to support the Phase 1B mission. Key assumptions and risks that could negatively impact mission success were evaluated and appropriate mitigative actions plans were planned and scheduled.

An integrated program management plan for the retrieval and disposal mission was developed to describe the overall management approach, organization roles and responsibilities, and overall performance measures.

The primary source of long term and environmental risk results from the continued storage of wastes in the single-shell tank system, which is far beyond its design life, and 67 tanks are presumed to have leaked to this point. A preliminary analysis of entire feed staging and processing mission to accelerate the removal of waste from the single -shell tanks was conducted. The analysis indicates that the effectiveness and feasibility could be enhanced by extending the Phase 1 contracts, maximizing the capacity deployed with the Phase 1 facilities. This results in a much smaller scale up requirement for the full scale production facilities by allowing a decrease in both the total vitrification plant capacity and peak retrieval requirements to more manageable rates while still meeting the Tri-Party Agreement completion of processing dates.

This systematic review of the PHMC Team's ability to support the retrieval and immobilization waste disposal mission concludes that the systems and infrastructure required to support the mission are understood and in place or plans are in place to ensure they exist when needed. A robust systems engineering culture, management system and risk management program are in place. No technology breakthroughs are needed to achieve a manageable schedule for Phase 1. In short, the review demonstrates that the systems, people and hardware are ready to proceed.

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

2.0 ENABLING ASSUMPTION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEM 1

3.0 ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS SOURCES 3

4.0 PRIORITIZATION OF ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS 6

5.0 KEY ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS 7

6.0 USE OF THE KEY ENABLING ASSUMPTION DATA SET 7

7.0 INTEGRATION OF TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM DATABASES 21

8.0 REFERENCES 21

LIST OF TABLES

1. Enabling Assumptions Database Information 2

2. Organizations and Sources for Enabling Assumptions 4

3. Criteria for Setting Enabling Assumption Priorities 6

4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List 9

LIST OF TERMS

AGA	Alternative Generation Analysis
BIO	Basis for Interim Operation
CDR	conceptual design report
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DRD	data requirements document
DST	double-shell tank
EA	enabling assumption
EAMCS	Enabling Assumption Management and Control System
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FDC	functional design criteria
FY	fiscal year
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HLW	high-level waste
ICD	Interface Control Document
IHLW	immobilized high-level waste
ILAW	immobilized low-activity waste
LAW	low-activity waste
LMHC	Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation
O&UP	Operation and Utilization Plan
PC	private contractor
PHMC	*Project Hanford Management Contract*
RAM	reliability, availability, and maintainability
SEMP	Systems Engineering Management Plan
SSC	systems, structures, and components
SST	single-shell tank
TWR	Tank Waste Retrieval
TWRS	Tank Waste Remediation System
USQ	unreviewed safety question
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure

TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM RETRIEVAL AND DISPOSAL MISSION KEY ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Project's Retrieval and Disposal Mission must establish and implement the systems, structures, and components necessary to support tank waste retrieval and delivery, immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) and immobilized high-level waste (IHLW) storage and disposal, and tank farm closure. The programs have completed and continue to prepare a wide variety of plans, studies, analyses, designs, specifications, schedules, budgets, and other documentation in support of the TWRS Project objectives.

Numerous uncertainties about technical and programmatic issues exist, and many of these uncertainties will not be resolved for months or even years. In order to proceed with its planning efforts, the Retrieval and Disposal Mission programs have made and will continue to make "enabling assumptions" (EA) about the identified uncertainties. Key EAs are those that are key to the success of the Retrieval and Disposal Mission and will be managed and resolved by Retrieval and Disposal Mission management.

This document describes the process that has been used to develop the Key EA list and the system being implemented to document, track, and resolve in an orderly fashion the EAs that are relevant to the Retrieval and Disposal Mission.

2.0 ENABLING ASSUMPTION MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL SYSTEM

The Enabling Assumption Management and Control System (EAMCS) was developed to support the Retrieval and Disposal Mission programs' readiness to deliver tank waste feed, store and dispose of ILAW/IHLW and other retained wastes, and close the Tank Farms in accordance with existing schedules and commitments. The EAMCS is the process to ensure an orderly identification, tracking and resolution of EAs. The EAMCS consists of two elements:

- The database of EAs and selected relevant information
- A process, which is the combination of Retrieval and Disposal Mission and TWRS Project procedures that ensure EAs are identified, tracked, and resolved.

The TWRS Technical Database Manager software is a database and modeling tool used by Systems Engineering to develop the TWRS Technical Baseline Database (in the Hanford Site

Technical Baseline Database [HSTD] using RDD-100 software). The EAs relevant to the Retrieval and Disposal Mission were added to the TWRS Technical Baseline Database to create the EAMCS database (EAMCS). The information captured in the EAMCS database is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Enabling Assumptions Database Information. (2 Sheets)

Database element	Description
EA number	Unique identifier number. Provides tie to original source.
EA title	Brief descriptive title of EA.
EA summary	Provides issue discussion/background/technical basis. Used in conjunction with the EA title to define the EA.
Basis for assumption	Provides additional background information to further define the assumption.
EA type	Programmatic or technical. Allows sorting of EAs within RDD-100. Technical EAs are more likely to result in definitions, requirements, or specifications.
Priority	Assigned priority ranking based on risk and other criteria. Lets EAs be managed by "importance" relative to other EAs.
Level 1 Logic number	Associates EA with critical path and other activities identified in the Level 1 Logic (not applicable to all EAs).
Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	Associates EA with WBS number, allowing the EA to be connected to task, schedule, cost, and other related information within RDD-100.
Responsible organization	Primary organization for ensuring the EA is being tracked and resolved. Allows the EA to be connected to other related information within RDD-100.
Responsible manager	Primary manager for ensuring tracking and resolution of the EA occurs. Allows the EA to be connected to other related information within RDD-100.
Technical contact(s)	Person(s) with primary responsibility for achieving EA resolution (into a decision, fact, criteria, or specification).
Successor activities	Impacted organizations/activities/documents. Allows the EA to be connected across project organizations and to other program activities.
Actions	Activities that are required to validate the EA. These may be one or a series of sequential activities (e.g., trade studies, Alternatives Generation and Analyses) that need to occur, and may need to be performed by organizations other than the TWRS Retrieval & Disposal program (e.g., Nuclear Safety, Characterization).
Start date	Start date for the next scheduled Action required for validation of the EA.
Due date	Date latest scheduled Action must be complete to validate the EA.
References	Source document(s) for EA, impacted documents, validation documents, and other related materials.

Table 1. Enabling Assumptions Database Information. (2 Sheets)

Database element	Description
Status comments	Current status, commentary regarding progress on validating the EA, problems, creation of new EAs, and other information not captured elsewhere in the database elements.
Validation	Final resolution of EA (into decision, fact, criteria, or specification). Establishes the final, ending configuration of the EA.

HSTD, n.d., Hanford Site Technical Baseline Database, database maintained by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
EA = enabling assumption.
WBS = Work Breakdown Structure
RDD-100= Hanford Site Technical Baseline Database

The EAMCS process is actually the result of a combination of activities conducted within three areas, each of which uses EAs within the Retrieval and Disposal Mission programs. These areas of responsibility include:

- Identifying, accepting, and validating EAs as they arise
- Monitoring the status of given EAs, substantiating or otherwise resolving them, and ensuring that the EAs are replaced with verified information
- Considering and adapting to the potential impacts of EAs on planning (including risk and management planning), scheduling, and budgeting activities.

The practices and activities associated with these areas of responsibility will be described in an implementing guide for the EAMCS which is currently under development.

3.0 ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS SOURCES

The Retrieval and Disposal Mission EAS were provided by the organizations and sources listed in Table 2. These sources represent documents and activities that are integral to or associated with tank waste retrieval, delivery, storage, or disposal.

Table 2. Organizations and Sources for Enabling Assumptions. (2 Sheets)

Organization	Potentially Applicable Enabling Assumption Source
Systems Engineering (TWRS)	• HNF-SD-WM-MAR-008, *Tank Waste Remediation System Mission Analysis Report*[a] • WHC-SD-WM-SEMP-002, *Tank Waste Remediation System Systems Engineering Management Plan*[b] • HNF-SD-TWR-CSUD-001, *TWRS Technical Baseline Database Manager Definition Document*[c] • WHC-SD-WM-CSUD-012, *RDD-100 Users' Guide for TWRS*[d]
Waste Feed Delivery (TWRS)	• HNF-SD-TWR-TM-001, *Technical Baseline Description of High-Level Waste and Low-Activity Feed Mobilization and Delivery*[e] • HNF-SD-WM-SP-012, *Tank Waste Remediation System Operation and Utilization Plan*[f] • HNF-1883, *Tank Waste Remediation System Program Plan*[g] • HNF-1900, *Tank Waste Remediation System Configuration Management Plan*[h] • HNF-1881, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Waste Feed Delivery Plan*[i] • RAM Risk Evaluation[j]
Other TWRS or Organizations	• TBR, AGA, trade studies, CDRs, FDCs, Project Development Specifications, Project Design Concepts, and DRDs from various "W" projects • HNF-1882, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Infrastructure Plan*[k] • HNF-SD-WM-BIO-001, *Tank Waste Remediation System Basis for Interim Operation* (BIO)[l] • HNF-SD-WM-TSR-006, *Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Safety Requirements*[m] • HNF-IP-0842, *TWRS Administration*, Volume IV, "Engineering," Section 5.4, "Unreviewed Safety Questions"[n] • WHC-SD-WM-HSP-002, *Tank Farm Health and Safety Plan*[o]
Other PHMC Team members; Other Hanford Site Contractors	• HNF-SP-1230, *Tank Waste Remediation System Fiscal Year 1998 Multi-Year Work Plan WBS 1.1*[p] • HNF-SD-WM-RPT-294, *Decision Document for the Final Disposition of Cesium and Strontium Capsules*[q] • Various ICDs related to liquid effluents, solid wastes, analytical services environmental restoration, and infrastructure
DOE	• Safe Interim Storage of Hanford's Tank Waste Final Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision[r] • DOE/EIS-0189, *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement*[s] • 62 FR 8693, *Record of Decision for the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA*[t] • DOE-RL 96-92, *Hanford Strategic Plan*[u] • DOE-EIS-0222D, *Draft Hanford Remedial Action Environmental Impact Statement and Comprehensive Land Use Plan*[v] • Retrieval Mission Privatization, Contracts DE-AC06-RL13308[w] and -RL13309[x] • *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*[y]

Table 2. Organizations and Sources for Enabling Assumptions. (2 Sheets)

[a]Acree, C. D., Jr., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Mission Analysis Report*, HNF-SD-WM-MAR-008, Rev. 2, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[b]Peck, L. G., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Systems Engineering Management Plan*, HNF-SD-WM-SEMP-002, Rev. 1, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[c] Acree, C. D., Jr., 1997, *TWRS Technical Baseline Database Manager Definition Document*, HNF-SD-TWR-CSUD-001, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[d] Gneiting, B. C., 1996, *RDD-100 Users' Guide for TWRS*, WHC-SD-WM-CSUD-012, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

[e] Papp, I. G., 1997, *Technical Baseline Description of High-Level Waste and Low-Activity Waste Feed Mobilization and Delivery*, HNF-SD-TWR-TM-001, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[f] Kirkbride, R. A., G. K. Allen, P. J. Certa, A. F. Manual, R. M. Orme, L. W. Shelton, E. J. Slaathaug, R. S. Wittman, and G. T. MacLean and D. L. Penwell (SESC), 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Operation and Utilization Plan*, HNF-SD-WM-SP-012, Rev. 0, Volumes I and II, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[g] Freeman, D. V., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Program Plan*, HNF-1883, Rev. 0, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[h] Vann, J. M., E. R. Hamm, and R. D. Crisp, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Configuration Management Plan*, HNF-1900, Rev. 0, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[i] Potter, R. D., and R. L. Treat, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Waste Feed Delivery Plan*, HNF-1881, Rev. 0, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[j] Papp, I. G., 1997, *Waste Feed Delivery Technical Basis Document*, HNF-1939, DRAFT, Vol. III, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[k] Root, R. D., Jr., and R. L. Treat, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Infrastructure Plan*, HNF-1882, Rev. 0, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[l] FDH, 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Basis for Interim Operation*, HNF-SD-WM-BIO-001, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[m] Noorani, Y. G., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation Technical Safety Requirements*, HNF-SD-WM-TSR-006, Rev. 0, prepared by DE&S Hanford, Inc., for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[n] LMHC, 1997, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[o] Mickle, 1995, *Tank Farm Health and Safety Plan*, WHC-SD-WM-HSP-002, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

[p] Lenseigne, D. L., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Fiscal Year 1998 Multi-Year Work Plan WBS 1.1*, HNF-SP-1230, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[q] Claghorn, R. D., 1997, *Decision Document for the Final Disposition for Cesium and Strontium Capsules*, HNF-SD-WM-RPT-294, Rev. 0, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[r] Ecology and RL, 1996, *Final Environmental Impact Statement, Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes*, DOE/EIS-0212, Washington State Department of Ecology and U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Lacey, Washington.

[s] DOE and Ecology, 1996, *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement*, DOE/EIS-0189, U.S. Department of Energy and Washington State Department of Ecology, Washington, D.C.

[t] 62 CFR 8693, 1997, "Record of Decision for the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA," *Federal Register*, Vol. 62, pp. 8693-8704 (February 26).

[u] RL, 1996b, *Hanford Strategic Plan*, DOE/RL 96-92, Rev.0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[v] DOE, 1996, *Draft Hanford Remedial Action Environmental Impact Statement and Comprehensive Land Use Plan*, DOE/EIS-0222D, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D. C.

[w] RL, 1996a, *British Nuclear Fuels Laboratory Privatization Contract*, DE-AC06-96RL13308, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[x] RL, 1996c, *Lockheed Martin Advanced Environmental Systems Privatization Contract*, DE-AC06-96RL13309, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[y] Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1996, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, 2 vols., Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.

AGA = alternative generation analysis.
BIO = Basis for Interim Operation.
CDR = conceptual design report.
DRD = data requirements document.
DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.
EIS = Environmental Impact Statement.
FDC = functional design criteria.
FY = fiscal year.
HLW = high-level waste
ICD = Interface Control Document.
LAW = low-activity waste.
PHMC = Project Hanford Management Contract.
RAM = reliability, availability, and maintainability.
TBR= Technical Basis Review.
TWR = Tank Waste Retrieval.
TWRS = Tank Waste Remediation System
WBS = Work Breakdown Structure.

4.0 PRIORITIZATION OF ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS

A key element of the EAMCS database is the assignment of a priority to each EA. There are several purposes for prioritizing EAS, such as: allowing senior managers to track mission-critical EAS; highlighting EAS that are time- or cost-critical; and allowing subordinate managers and staff to proceed with EA validation on less critical assumption. A series of priority criteria were established using a four-tier methodology. These criteria incorporate similar logic to that associated with assigning risk, therefore the EA priorities are also consistent with risk-based prioritization schemes. The criteria used to set priorities for EAS are discussed in Table 3.

Table 3. Criteria for Setting Enabling Assumption Priorities. (2 Sheets)

Level	Criteria[a]	Example	Action Level[b]
A High	1) EA allows ability to meet 2002 feed delivery or has immediate time (e.g., FY 1998-1999) implications. 2) EA allows initial set of DST retrievals (e.g., AN-105/AN-104/AW-101). 3) EA enables PC turnover tanks (AP-106/8). 4) EA enables feed delivery tanks (AP-102/4). 5) EA could bottle-neck ability to store PC ILAW/IHLW 6) EA could have major safety or environmental impact. 7) EA could have a major cost impact (e.g., >$5M).	Tank Farm Operations must have the personnel resources, procedures, training, transfer systems, and priority to support bootstrap transfers and emptying (to minimum heel) of even-numbered AP tanks.	1
B Med	1) EA allows ability to meet feed delivery between 2005-2011 or has short-term time (e.g., FY 2000-2002) impact. 2) EA affects other initial 14 DST retrievals (other than the first 3). 3) EA could have an environmental, safety, or health impact. 4) EA could have a significant cost impact (e.g., $0.5-5M).	RAM analysis of Project W-211 and W-314 hardware systems will not result in significant project delays or cost increases.	2
C Low	1) EA has longer-term time (e.g., FY 2003-2011) impact. 2) EA was limited to document update or other paper exercise. 3) EA could have a relatively minor cost implication (e.g., $50-100K).	Retrieval and Disposal Mission O&UP must be updated at least annually.	3

Table 3. Criteria for Setting Enabling Assumption Priorities. (2 Sheets)

Level	Criteria[a]	Example	Action Level [b]
D Very Low	1) EA has little or no impacts related to Phase 1. 2) EA has no time implications in Phase 1 (e.g., >2012). 3) EA could have very little or no cost (<$50K), safety, or environmental implications.	Retrieval of SSTs will be initiated by 12/03. Failure to initiate retrieval on schedule would compromise the schedule for turning them over to EM-40 for D&D.	Cog. Eng.

[a]Any or all criteria qualify the priority.
[b]Action Level, i.e., PHMC Team management level responsible for management and resolution of the EA.
D&D = decontamination and decommissioning.
DST = double-shell tank.
EA = enabling assumption.
FY = fiscal year.
IHLW = immobilized high-level waste.
ILAW = immobilized low-activity waste.
O&UP = Operation and Utilization Plan.
PC = private contractor.
RAM = reliability, availability, and maintainability.
SST = single-shell tank.

5.0 KEY ENABLING ASSUMPTIONS

Retrieval and Disposal Mission management reviewed the priority A list of EAs that resulted from the application of the criteria in Table 3 and selected and or combined those EAs that they felt were most important to the success of the retrieval mission. The resulting list along with the addition of other EAs that are important to management, but not specifically identified in the Retrieval and Disposal Mission program documentation, makes up the Key EA list (refer to Table 4).

6.0 USE OF THE KEY ENABLING ASSUMPTION DATA SET

The EAMCS will be used by the TWRS Project Retrieval and Disposal Mission to effectively manage and control the addition, monitoring and validation of EAs. The EAMCS will provide management a tool to assure that EAs critical to the retrieval mission are tracked and resolved (validated) prior to implementation of the program or process dependent upon their resolution.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
1	EAMCS.PG.2.2.2.1[b]	PC/TWRS Contractor Interfaces and Missions	The strategy for waste immobilization will be implemented as described in the privatization contracts. The specific strategy is as follows. The TWRS will be responsible for retrieval, infrastructure, preparation, and delivery of LAW and HLW feed, storage and disposal of waste products for Phase 1, and facility decommissioning of Phase 1 facilities. PCs will be responsible for LAW treatment and immobilization, HLW immobilization for Phase 1, and facility deactivation for their Phase 1 facilities (deactivation, decommissioning, and decontamination of Phase 1 facilities and infrastructures will occur during Phase 2). PCs will be responsible for waste retrieval, LAW and HLW treatment and immobilization, and facility decommissioning during Phase 2.	The TWRS EIS ROD[c] selected a phased approach that uses government and PCs to accomplish the tank waste cleanup mission. PC contracts and ICDs are the principal guidance documents for implementing this strategy.	Review copies of the Phase 1 privatization contracts to ensure consistency with TWRS PAs, goals, and capabilities. Review RL contract award (from 5/29/98) by 6/30/98. Review Congressional decision by 7/31/98.
2	EAMCS.SD.13[d]	Phase 1 HLW Interim Operating Schedule	TWRS program schedule is based on storage availability beginning June 1, 2002. TWRS will deliver the first feed to the PCs in time to support the June 2002 startup.	The TWRS EIS ROD[c] selected a phased approach that uses government and PCs to accomplish the tank waste cleanup mission. PC contracts and ICDs are the principal guidance documents that define when IHLW and ILAW storage are needed.	Request RL to expedite definition of PC need dates for HLW and LAW feed and for IHLW and ILAW storage.
3	EAMCS.SD.19[d]	Privatization Schedule	Privatized hot operations are assumed to start in June 2002 and end in May 2011.	The TWRS EIS ROD[c] selected a phased approach that uses government and PC to accomplish the tank waste cleanup mission. PC contracts and ICDs are the principal guidance documents that define when LAW and HLW feed are needed.	Request RL to expedite definition of PC need dates for HLW and LAW feed and for IHLW and ILAW storage.
4	EAMCS.HSEMS.23[e] EAMCS.PG.2.2.2.7[b]	Phase 1 Schedule	The option to extend Phase 1 will be executed through 2011.	RL has an option to extend PCs contracts through 2011. TWRS must plan to provide feed to the PC throughout the contract period.	Confirm option period with RL and reconcile to TWRS' ability to provide feed for the entire duration (the maximum order quantity issue).
5	EAMCS.150.B.62	PC Tank Inspection Expectations	The PC will accept AP-106/8 based on tank integrity guaranteed by RL.	The PC will take over responsibility for AP-106 and AP-108, including RCRA[f] permits. Part of the obligation of being an RCRA permit signatory is assurance that the tanks are leak-tight. Before accepting these tanks, the PC may want assurance that RL is guaranteeing these DSTs meet integrity requirements. RL has directed that all planning efforts for inspections be stopped.[g,h]	Request RL confirm that written guarantees are acceptable and schedule ensuing actions.
6	EAMCS.150.B.94 EAMCS.OUP.5.15[i]	AP-106/8 Interfaces Detail	TWRS will relinquish responsibility for operation and maintenance of AP-106/108 to the PCs in FY 1999. Agreements will be negotiated with the PCs to establish interfaces of operational systems and administrative controls for the operation of the turned over tanks, including protocols for entering the AP Tank Farms. These tanks will contain wastes until bootstrap transfers free up other tank space to accommodate the waste.	The PC will take over responsibilities for AP-106 and AP-108, including RCRA[f] permits. RL is suggesting a 6/01 turnover date; no formal direction has been provided.	Make decisions with RL/PCs regarding the safety authorization basis, environmental permitting, and administrative protocol issues/interfaces. Make decision on turnover date: 3/99 or 6/01 or delay turnover until first batch of waste is delivered into AP-106/108 (RTP scheduled currently says 12/01 for turnover).
7	EAMCS.620.30	Feed Delivery and the USQ Process	The PC will be responsible for USQ evaluations of AP-106/8 because the turnover will occur before commencement of PC tank modifications. TWRS prefers that safety management of AP-106/108 stay within limits imposed by the TWRS Authorization Basis.	The impacts of new equipment and unanalyzed tank waste on the current TWRS Authorization Basis are unknown as this time.	Evaluate the proposed waste feed delivery and storage activities against probable changes to the TWRS Authorization Basis in a timely manner so that all planned activities may be completed on schedule.
8	EAMCS.HSEMS.26[e]	Final ICDs	Current ICDs, that define PHMC and PC responsibilities/obligations as they apply to project interfaces, will not be altered significantly when incorporated into the Phase 1B contracts.	ICDs control the customers' and contractors' expectations. Baseline planning was based on the conditions defined in the draft ICDs.	Reiterate that changes to TWR ICDs will result in TWRS contractor baseline changes. Monitor progress in completing ICDs.

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
9	EAMCS.HSEMS.17[e] EAMCS.120.B.65 EAMCS.150.B.24 EAMCS.620.10 EAMCS.330.20 EAMCS.150.B.86 EAMCS.150.B.96 EAMCS.330.80 EAMCS.330.90	RL Interfaces are Controlled	Changes in dates, specifications, locations, etc., in the TWRS privatization RFP may result in changes to scope, cost, and schedule baselines.	Close cooperation and integration among all parties, including RL oversight activities, are required for mission success.	All parties must review, agree, and commit to the durations assigned to the technical basis and the logic activities for which they are responsible.
10	EAMCS.PG.2.2.2.3[b]	Budget Validation to Support PHMC Responsibilities for All WDD Projects	Budget validation to support responsibilities for all RL WDD projects will be achieved in time to support the Phase 1 schedule.	The normal RL budget validation process may not support early Phase 1 activities. The RL FY 1998 budget may not be sufficient.	Confirm early budget validation for Phase 1 startup processes. In association with RL authorization-to-proceed decision and submit RTP financial analysis and request for additional funds if needed.
11	EAMCS.MD.8	PC Sampling and Analysis	Sampling material and analytical scope includes only PHMC requirements to verify that feed meets the envelope requirements. Sampling requirements of RL and the PCs are not included in the RTP plan (cost and schedule).	RL and PC requirements were undefined during RTP development.	RL formally define these requirements. Funding and schedule impacts will be provided by PHMC.
12	EAMCS.OUP.11.1[i] EAMCS.150.B.22 EAMCS.PG.22.27[b]	Phase 1 Privatization Services	The maximum order quantity will be processed. Additional funding will be provided for W-TBD to allow maximum feed delivery.	TWRS can readily meet minimum and additional PC order quantities through planned upgrades to DSTs. However, major new project expenditures are required to provide the maximum order quantity.	Confirm RL acceptance of recommendations from TWRS O&UP.[i]
13	EAMCS.MD.9	Design and Authorization Basis Immobilized Storage	The CSB (IHLW) is assumed to be a modification of the SFP Authorization Basis. The vault modifications (ILAW) will require a separate Authorization Basis.	The CSB is an extension of the SFP (vault 1) Authorization Basis. Vaults for disposal of ILAW will be a separate Authorization Basis due to significant differences from tanks Authorization Basis.	CSB integrated planning will validate this assumption via an approved permitting plan. Vault integrated planning will validate this assumption via an approved permitting plan.
14	EAMCS.MD.1	241-AY-102 Retrieval (HLW) Project Acceleration	Funding will be made available to accelerate Project W-211 to meet the need date for AY-102. Currently planned for 6/03.	Change to the Project W-211 schedule is required to satisfy waste feed delivery schedule.	Rebaseline the W-211 schedule and develop a new schedule and funding profile. Include 2-year funding cycle in schedule.
15	EAMCS.MD.2	Air Permits	RL will provide funding for additional WDOH and Ecology personnel to process Phase 1B *Clean Air Act*[j] permits in a timely manner.	WDOH and Ecology require permit fees or equivalent support funds to process radioactive air and toxic *Clean Air Act*[j] permits, respectively. As currently budgeted, neither agency has sufficient processing funds; they therefore will not be able to issue permits to RL that will support Phase 1 activity schedules.	Formally encourage the funding of these permit processing budgets with RL.
16	EAMCS.HCEMS.14[e]	Expanded Line Item Projects	DSTs that must be retrieved to meet maximum order quantities but are not included in the scopes of Projects W-211 and W-314 will be covered through change orders and/or a new line item project(s), e.g., W-TBD.	Projects W-211 and W-314 are the principal line item projects that will upgrade existing DSTs to support TWRS privatization. With full implementation of these projects, retrieval of the first ~10 DSTs can succeed. To complete maximum delivery orders, an additional project or changes to Projects W-211/W-314 will be required.	Process change request to add additional scope to baseline.

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
17	EAMCS.MD.3	Regulatory Authority	Technical and budget planning are based on safety regulations consistent with DOE requirements.	DOE has indicated its desire to move to NRC Regulation of its facilities in the future.	Track progress of plans to transition to NRC authority. Communicate to RL that the program baseline will need to be adjusted, should transition occur.
18	EAMCS.MD.4	Design and Authorization Basis	Current design choices (in safety class designations) will not change as the Authorization Basis is approved.	Planned retrieval is an extension of current operations.	The proposed feed delivery activities must be evaluated against probable changes to the TWRS Authorization Basis in a timely manner so that all planned activities may be completed on schedule.
19	EAMCS.MAR.1[l]	Tank Waste Classification	Federal regulations require that HLW be disposed in NRC-licensed facilities.	DOE has directed that IHLW will be sent to a geologic disposal repository.	Plan to send IHLW to repository. COMPLETED
20	EAMCS.MAR.2[l]	DST Radionuclide Content	The residual waste after treatment is considered incidental waste based on the NRC's previous ruling for DST wastes.	The NRC has determined that Hanford Site tank waste can be classified as incidental waste and is not subject to NRC regulation provided these criteria are met: 1. The waste meets the concentration limits in 10 CFR 61.55[p] 2. Radionuclides are removed to the extent technically and economically practical 3. Public health is protected consistent with 10 CFR 61.[p]	The NRC's preliminary finding establishes a provisional agreement that the LAW portion of the Site tank waste planned for removal from the tanks and disposal on site is incidental waste and, therefore, not subject to NRC licensing authority.[k] COMPLETED
21	EAMCS.150.B.10 EAMCS.250.4.E.0 EAMCS.250.4.G.0 EAMCS.MYWP.3[m]	Safety Analysis and Evaluation/AB Amendments	Technical issues will be resolved in a manner which will support approval of any required Authorization Basis amendment on schedule.	The TWRS BIO[n] was recently issued. All departures from the BIO require an Authorization Basis amendment.	Identify all necessary Phase 1 Authorization Basis amendment documentation needs as soon as possible.
22	EAMCS.SD.20[d] EAMCS.SD.21[d] EAMCS.SD.22[d]	Onsite Disposal of ILAW	Vaults can be used for disposal of ILAW after: (1) confirmation by PAs; (2) confirmation that NRC criteria have been met; and (3) RL-HQ disposal authorization.	Existing grout vaults are being modified for disposal of ILAW. The ILAW can be disposed in the modified grout vaults after reviews and approvals by NRC and DOE-HQ. Onsite disposal of ILAW without NRC licensing is consistent with guidance from RL and NEPA[o] documentation. The NRC has determined that Hanford Site tank waste can be classified as incidental waste and is not subject to NRC regulation provided these criteria are met: 1. The waste meets the concentration limits in 10 CFR 61.55[p] 2. Radionuclides are removed to the extent technically and economically practical 3. Public health is protected consistent with 10 CFR 61.[p]	Complete PA and DOE-HQ reviews. Provide input for DOE-HQ briefing to NRC on results. The NRC's preliminary finding establishes a provisional agreement that the LAW portion of the Site tank waste planned for removal from the tanks and disposal on site is incidental waste and, therefore, not subject to NRC licensing authority.[k] COMPLETED

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
23	EAMCS.130.B.17 EAMCS.130.B20 EAMCS.OUP.1.4[i] EAMCS.OUP.3.2[i]	Flammable Gas Watch List DSTs	DOE Secretarial/Congressional approval will be obtained in a timely manner to add diluents, mix, and retrieve 241-AN-105, 241-AN-104, 241-AN-103, AW-101, SY-103, and 241-SY-101 currently on the Flammable Gas Watch List.	Wastes currently in six Flammable Gas Watch List tanks are expected to fit envelope criteria and are planned to be retrieved during Phase 1. Retrieval of the waste (≥80% of volume) from these tanks supports the technical basis for removal of the tanks from the watch list. Also, the retrieved diluted waste will not cause staging/feed tanks to become Watch List Tanks.	Provide schedule for waste retrieval to RL indicating critical need dates for approval to add diluents, mix, and retrieve waste from watch list tanks and provide potential cost and schedule impacts due to failure to receive approval.
24	EAMCS.OUP.1.4[i]	Tri-Party Agreement	The Tri-Party Agreement[q] schedule for SST retrieval will be renegotiated to support Phase 1 feed delivery.	The Tri-Party Agreement[q] milestones for SST waste removal are inconsistent with current budget planning for Phase 1. DST space limitations prevent achieving all Tri-Party Agreement[q] objectives regarding SST retrieval.	Obtain agreement with RL and other Tri-Party Agreement[q] signatories on changes to the milestones.
25	EAMCS.MYWP.38[m] EAMCS.CLO.12[s]	Continuous Use of DSTs	DSTs space availability required to execute the Phase 1 mission will be consistent with planning assumptions in the TWRS O&UP.[i]	Because of the limited available DST space, and the need to support storage of new wastes, much of the new tank space created by retrieval will be needed to provide flexibility and reduce risk of failure to deliver feed.	Define needed available volume and identify preferred tanks to provide processing flexibility and lower risk.
26	EAMCS.MD.5 EAMCS.350.X05	Separated Solids Return	PC-returned separated solids will be at the rate of approximately two 8,000-gal transfers per LAW plant per year. The LAW plant solids return streams meet acceptance and compatibility criteria necessary to make a transfer to AP-107. The PC provides analysis data which supports performance of these transfers.	Return transfers to TWRS must meet the TWRS Authorization Basis and because of tank space limitations, must be received into a single tank, AP-107. Transfer system is administratively restricted to one transfer into AP-107 at a time. If a line plugs, both LAW plants would be impacted.	Reiterate this limitation to RL. Negotiate this with the PC and reflect in ICDs. Suggest alternative to lower the risk: (1) pump LAW solids directly to the HLW plant, or (2) have PC extend its return line directly to AP-107 so that the two plants can transfer independent from each other.
27	EAMCS.CLO.10[s] EAMCS.160.A26 EAMCS.160.A52	Enhanced Sludge Washing Capability	TWRS will have the capability to successfully perform enhanced in-tank sludge washing. The sludge in HLW tanks will be washed in three cycles using a caustic/water mix.	Enhanced sludge washing is required to reduce the concentration of certain constituents to meet the Envelope D limits.	Confirm the process to meet Envelope D limits and perform early laboratory tests with representative samples to verify the process. Confirm that sludge is effectively entrained (and therefore capable of being washed) in the Project W-151 mixer pump demonstration. Specify the necessary equipment upgrades to complete caustic addition in AZ-101/102 and AY-102.
28	EAMCS.130.B.40	Perform Bootstrap Transfers to Empty and Flush Out 241-AP-102, -104, -106, and -108	Emptying of AP-102/4/6/8 DSTs via bootstrap transfers will be executed as planned.	Four AP tanks must be emptied for use as feed tanks by the PCs and TWRS. Bootstrap transfers and the use of the 242-A Evaporator are required to support this action. Limited tank space exists to accommodate the waste from emptied tanks.	Maintain evaporator operational readiness and tank space availability necessary to support bootstrap transfers.
29	EAMCS.CLO.16[s] EAMCS.HSEMS.12[e] EAMCS.MYWP.37[m]	242-A Evaporator Availability	The 242-A Evaporator will be available to support waste processing operations until the Phase 2 LAW immobilization facility is in operation. All upgrades necessary to extend the operation of the evaporator through FY 2011 will be completed by FY 2005.	242-A Evaporator operation is required to maximize the availability of DST volume for storage of newly generated wastes. Because of the age of the evaporator, upgrades to extend its life will be necessary.	Implement projects to upgrade the 242-A Evaporator and ensure that the evaporator will support early Phase 1B operations. Currently planned as part of PHMC Multi-Year Program Plan.
30	EAMCS.120.C.10 EAMCS.110.45 EAMCS.160.A52	Mixing & Retrieval System	Large mixer pumps will be successful in DST retrieval based on mixer tests (Project W-151) to be conducted in tank AZ-101. Project W-151 will provide test results indicating the TWRS O&UP[s] model for HLW washing, settling, and sampling is valid.	State-of-the-art 300-hp pumps will be used to homogenize DST wastes. Similar pumps have been successful at Savannah River and West Valley, but have experienced periodic failures.	Confirm mixer pump technology is appropriate for retrieving DSTs via mixer tests, etc. (W-151):

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
31	EAMCS.HSEMS.24[e] EAMCS.440.50 EAMCS.SD.3 EAMCS.SD.5 EAMCS.SD.7 EAMCS.SD.15 EAMCS.SD.17 EAMCS.SD.23	ILAW and IHLW Volumes	Assumed HLW and LAW immobilized waste volumes contained in the TWRS O&UP[i] in compliance with the privatization contract will be the actual attained volume used in the final Phase 1 contracts.	ILAW and IHLW volumes will drive space requirements in vaults and the Canister Storage Building.	Confirm program plans and capabilities, including PC's projected waste loadings in glass and canister filling efficiency.
32	EAMCS.360.80 EAMCS.360.90 EAMCS.OUP.4.6[i] EAMCS.OUP.4.7[i]	PC HLW Feed Tank Design, Feed Composition and Immobilization Rate	HLW immobilization rate will be 60 MT waste oxides excluding silicon and sodium per year. The PCs will have sufficient storage capacity to receive ~155,000 gal of HLW feed and will immobilize one transfer every 6 to 9 months. The HLW PC will design its HLW receipt tank to contain 5 MT waste oxides excluding silicon and sodium at a total waste oxide loading of 25 g/L. The waste batch will be transferred at 100 g/L.	Given current tank space and operating constraints, TWRS requires the PC to have tanks sufficiently large to avoid the need for frequent transfers of HLW.	Communicate this concern to RL well before the Phase 1 PC contracts are completed and get this assumption validated.
33	EAMCS.OUP.6.1[i]	Facility Processing Rates	The processing rate for each facility during Phase 1 is 2.0 MT Na/day (LAW) for both PCs and 0.164 MT NVOL/day (HLW) for the HLW PC.	LMHC has determined that PC process rates in excess of these will result in an inability to supply feed on demand.	1. Ensure that RL is aware of this planning basis. 2. Evaluate options if rates are higher.
34	EAMCS.120.D.40	Tank AN-105 as First Batch of LAW.	Tank AN-105 is acceptable as the source tank for the first batch of LAW. The envelope A specification limit will be changed to 0.5 mole TOC/mole Na.	Several of the first tanks to be retrieved are on the Flammable Gas Watch List and were chosen because their waste composition is closely aligned to Envelope A requirements, with the exception of TOC.	Proceed as documented in plans, including in-tank DQO confirmation process.
35	EAMCS.MYWP.27[m] EAMCS.OUP.3.2[i]	Co-Mingling of Wastes in DSTs During Pumping	Wastes will be co-mingled during pumping. Compatibility studies will be performed to ensure that there are no adverse chemical reactions. RL will accept the potential cost of contaminating non-complexed wastes with organics/complexed wastes.	Because of the limited available DST space, individual tanks must be emptied and filled many times. To meet the RL-prescribed envelope specifications, wastes from multiple sources may be mixed in the same DST. Inability to remove all waste from the tanks results in a heel that will become mixed with newly added waste.	Communicate plans to co-mingle wastes in DSTs to RL and ensure that compatibility tests are performed. Require RL's concurrence with our feed delivery plans.
36	EAMCS.MD.7	Qualify Feed in Source Tank	Qualification of some feed material provided to PC will be conducted in the source tanks for certain batches, not the staging tanks (AN-102/104).	After delivery of small batches of feed, and to avoid idle time of the PC facilities, the next batch may need to be qualified in the source tank to minimize the delivery time.	Confirm with RL that feed qualification in the source tank is acceptable. Develop optional work-around to avoid PC facility idle time.

Table 4. Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Key Enabling Assumptions List. (6 sheets)

No.	EA number[a]	Title	Summary	Basis for assumption	Action to validate/resolve assumption
37	EAMCS.OUP.5.4[i]	LAW Waste Transfer Schedule	The waste transfer date (the date at which the PC requests delivery of new feed from TWRS) will be (1) before the date on which 30 days of feed at the PC's processing rate remains in the PC's feed tank and (2) not before the allowed period of time between feed delivery cycles has elapsed. These periods of time will be established as part of the PC Phase 1 contracts or other agreement, and will be based on TWRS' projected ability to deliver individual batches of feed of various volumes and sodium contents to the PCs. If previously qualified feed remains in the TWRS staging tank after delivery of feed occurs, TWRS will have the authority to transfer the remnants (top-off transfer) as soon as sufficient space in the PC's tank is created. The PC will empty its feed tank to the limits of the removal capacity of the installed pump (minimum heel) before switching to a new envelope.	The allotted time to complete a delivery of feed is 30 days. This allows for the time for pumping and to recover from potential equipment failures. The allowed frequency of requesting new feed must be matched to TWRS's delivery capability which is impacted by batch volume and sodium content.	Communicate this concern to RL well before Phase 1 PC contracts are completed. Ensure appropriate limiting conditions are imposed in the Phase 1B contracts.

[a] Each Enabling Assumption reference has been assigned a unique number consisting of three parts: (1) 'EAMCS,' denoting entry in the Enabling Assumptions Management Control System; (2) the acronym for the source of the assumption (e.g., PG = Planning Guidance, SD = Storage & Disposal, CLO = Closure Plan, MAR = Mission Analysis Report, OUP = Operations & Utilization Plan, MYWP = Multi-Year Work Plan, HSEMS = Hanford Site Environmental Management Specification, MD = Management Directive), and (3) a number. A number following EAMCS indicates an Enabling Assumption from the Technical Basis Review and corresponds to the activity identification.

[b] Taylor, W. J., 1997, *Contract Number DE-AC06-96RL13200 Waste Disposal Division MYWP Guidance for FY 1998*, (letter 97-WDD-128 to H. J. Hatch and A. M. Umek, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., August 6) U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[c] 62 FR 8693, 1997, "Record of Decision for the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA," *Federal Register*, Vol. 62, pp. 8693-8704, (February 26).

[d] Calmus, R. B., 1996, *Design Requirements Document for Interim Storage Phase 1 Solidification of High-Level Waste*, WHC-SD-WM-DRD-012, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

[e] RL, 1997, *Hanford Site Environmental Management Specification*, DOE/RL-97-55, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operation Office, Richland, Washington.

[f] *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*, as amended, 42 USC 6901, et seq.

[g] Taylor, W. J., 1997, *Subcontract 80232764-9-K001, DOE/RL DST Integrity Assessment Work Planning*, (letter 97-WSD-232 to H. J. Hatch and A. M. Umek, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., October 30) U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[h] Umek, A. M., and D. S. Rewinkle, 1997, *Subcontract 80232764-9-K001, DOE/RL DST Integrity Assessment Work Planning*, (letter 9760373A to L. E. Hall, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, November 25) Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[i] Kirkbride, R. A., G. K. Allen, P. J. Certa, A. F. Manuel, R. M. Orme, L. W. Shelton, E. J. Slaathaug, R. S. Wittman, and G. T. MacLean, and D. L. Penwell, 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Operation and Utilization Plan*, HNF-SD-WM-SP-012, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[j] *Clean Air Act of 1977*, as amended, 42 U.S.C 7401, et seq.

[k] Kinzer, J. E., 1997, *Contract Number DE-AC06-96RL13200; Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) System Requirements Review Action Plan* (letter 96-TWR-025 to H.J. Hatch, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., February 4), U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

[l] Acree, C.D., Jr., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation Mission Analysis Report*, HNF-SD-WM-MAR-008, Rev. 1, DRAFT, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[m] Lenseigne, D. L., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Fiscal Year 1998 Multi-Year Program Plan, WBS .1*, HNF-SP-1230, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[n] FDH, 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Basis for Interim Operation*, HNF-SD-WM-BIO-001, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

[o] *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*, as amended, 42 USC 4321, et seq.

[p] 10 CFR 61, "Licensing Requirements for Land Disposal of Radioactive Waste, *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

[q] Ecology, EPA, and RL, 1996, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.

AP = AP Tank Farm.
BIO = Basis for Interim Operation.
CFR = *Code of Federal Regulations*.
DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.
DOE-HQ = U.S. Department of Energy-Headquarters.
DQO = Data Quality Objective.
DST = double-shell tank.
EA = Enabling Assumption.
EAMCS = Enabling Assumptions Management Control System.
Ecology = Washington State Department of Ecology.
EIS = environmental impact statement.
FY = fiscal year.
HLW = high-level waste.
ICD = Interface Control Document.
IHLW = immobilized high-level waste.
ILAW = immobilized low-activity waste.
LAW = low-activity waste.
LLCE = long-length contaminated equipment.
LMHC = Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation.
N/A = not applicable.
NEPA = *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*.
NRC = U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
NVOL = nonvolatile oxides less sodium and silicon.
O&UP = Operations and Utilization Plan.
OCRWM = Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.
ORR = operational readiness review.
PA = performance assessment.
PC = private contractor.
PHMC = *Project Hanford Management Contract*.
RCRA = *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*.
RFP = request for proposal.
RL = U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office.
ROD = record of decision.
RTP = readiness to proceed.
SST = single-shell tank.
TOC = total organic carbon.
Tri-Party Agreement = *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*.
TWR = Tank Waste Retrieval.
TWRS = Tank Waste Remediation System.
W-TBD = new line item project, to be determined.
WDD = Waste Disposal Division.
WDOH = Washington State Department of Health.

7.0 INTEGRATION OF TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM DATABASES

The TWRS Project plans to merge Retrieval Mission and Disposal Key Assumptions and Key Risks into a common managed database. This database will be interconnected with the Requirements database already incorporated in the Hanford Site Technical Baseline Database.

8.0 REFERENCES

Acts

Clean Air Act of 1977, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, 42 USC 4321 et seq.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended, 42 USC 6901 et seq.

Federal Register

62 FR 8693, 1997, "Record of Decision for the Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA" *Federal Register*, Vol. 62, pp. 8693-8704 (February 26).

Code of Federal Regulations

10 CFR 61, "Licensing Requirements for Land Disposal of Radioactive Waste," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

Database

EAMCS, n.d., Enabling Assumption Management and Control System, database maintained by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

HSTD, n.d., Hanford Site Technical Baseline Database, database maintained by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Logic Diagrams

FDH, 1998, Logic Diagrams, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

- H-2-823148, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Immobilized Waste (ILAW)*
- H-2-829149, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Immobilized Waste (IHLW)*
- H-2-829150, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Infrastructure Phase 1 Privatization Support*
- H-2-892151, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 1st Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-105*
- H-2-829152, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 2nd Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-104*
- H-2-829153, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 3rd Feed Batches Tank 241-AW-101*
- H-2-829154, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 4th Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-103*
- H-2-829155, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 5th Feed Batches Tanks 241-AP-101 & 241-AW-104*
- H-2-829156, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 6th Feed Batches Tank 241-AY-101*
- H-2-829157, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 7th & 8th Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-107*
- H-2-829158, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 9th Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-102*
- H-2-829159, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 10th Feed Batches Tank 241-AN-106*
- H-2-829160, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 11th Feed Batches Tank 241-SY-101*
- H-2-829161, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery LAW 12th Feed Batches Tank 241-SY-103*
- H-2-829162, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery HLW 1st & 2nd Feed Batches First Tank, 241-AZ-101*
- H-2-829163, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery HLW 3rd & 4th Feed Batches Second Tank, 241-AZ-102*
- H-2-829164, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery HLW 5th - 9th Feed Batches Third Tank, 241-AY-102*
- H-2-829165, *TWRS Retrieval Level 1 Logic Waste Feed Delivery HLW 10th - 12th Feed Batches Fourth Tank, 241-C-104*
- H-2-829166, *TWRS Level 0 Logic (2 Sheets)*

Documents

Acree, C. D., Jr., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Mission Analysis Report*, HNF-SD-WM-MAR-008, Rev. 3, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Acree, C. D., Jr., 1997, *TWRS Technical Baseline Database Manager Definition Document*, HNF-SD-TWR-CSUD-001, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.

Calmus, R. B., 1996, *Design Requirements Document for Interim Storage Phase 1 Solidification of High-Level Waste*, WHC-SD-WM-DRD-012, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Claghorn, R. D., 1997, *Decision Document for the Final Disposition for Cesium and Strontium Capsules*, HNF-SD-WM-RPT-294, Rev. 0, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

DOE, 1996, *Draft Hanford Remedial Action Environmental Impact Statement and Comprehensive Land Use Plan*, DOE/EIS-0222D, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE and Ecology, 1996, *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement*, DOE/EIS-0189, U.S. Department of Energy and Washington State Department of Ecology, Washington, D.C.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1996, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, 2 vol., Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.

Ecology and RL, 1995, *Final Environmental Impact Statement, Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes*, DOE/EIS-0212, Washington State Department of Ecology and U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Lacey, Washington.

FDH, 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Basis for Interim Operation*, HNF-SD-WM-BIO-001, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Freeman, D. V., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Program Plan*, HNF-1883, Rev. 1, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Gneiting, B. C., 1996, *RDD-100 Users' Guide for TWRS*, WHC-SD-WM-CSUD-012, Rev. 0., Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Kirkbride, R.A., G. K. Allen, P. J. Certa, A. F. Manuel, R. M. Orme, L. W. Shelton, E. J. Slaathaug, R. S. Wittman, and G. T. MacLean, and D. L. Penwell, 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Operation and Utilization Plan*, HNF-SD-WM-SP-012, Rev. 0, Volumes I and II, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Kinzer, J. E., 1997, *Contract Number DE-AC06-96RL13200; Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) System Requirements Review Action Plan*, (letter 96-TWR-025 to H.J. Hatch, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., February 4), U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Lenseigne, D. L., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Fiscal Year 1998 Multi-Year Work Plan WBS 1.1*, HNF-SP-1230, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

LMHC, 1997, *TWRS Administration,* HNF-IP-0842, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Mickle, G. D., 1995, *Tank Farm Health and Safety Plan*, WHC-SD-WM-HSP-002, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Noorani, Y. G., 1997, *Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Safety Requirements*, HNF-SD-WM-TSR-006, Rev. 0, prepared by DE&S Hanford, Inc. for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Papp, I. G., 1997, *Waste Feed Delivery Technical Basis Document*, HNF-1939, DRAFT, Vol. III, prepared by Numatec Hanford corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Papp, I. G., 1997, *Technical Baseline Description of High-Level Waste and Low-Activity Waste Feed Mobilization and Delivery*, HNF-SD-TWR-TM-001, Rev. 0, prepared by Numatec Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.

Peck, L.G., 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Systems Engineering Management Plan*, HNF-SD-WM-SEMP-002, Rev. 2, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Potter, R. D., and R. L. Treat, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Waste Feed Delivery Plan,* HNF-1881, Rev. 1, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

RL, 1997, *Hanford Site Environmental Management Specification*, DOE/RL-97-55, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

RL, 1996a, *British Nuclear Fuels Laboratory Privatization Contract*, DE-AC06-96RL13308, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

RL, 1996b, *Hanford Strategic Plan*, DOE/RL 96-92, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

RL, 1996c, *Lockheed Martin Advanced Environmental Systems Privatization Contract*, DE-AC06-96RL13309, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Root R. W., Jr., and R. D. Potter, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Retrieval and Disposal Mission Infrastructure Plan,* HNF-1882, Rev. 1, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Taylor, W. J., 1997, *Contract Number DE-AC06-96RL13200 Waste Disposal Division MYWP Guidance for FY 1998*, (letter 97-WDD-128 to H. J. Hatch and A. M. Umek, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., August 6) U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Taylor, W. J., 1997, *Subcontract 80232764-9-K001, DOE/RL DST Integrity Assessment Work Planning*, (letter 97-WSD-232 to H. J. Hatch and A. M. Umek, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., October 30) U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Umek, A. M., and D. S. Rewinkle, 1997, *Subcontract 80232764-9-K001, DOE/RL DST Integrity Assessment Work Planning*, (letter 9760373A to L. E. Hall, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, November 25) Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

Vann, J. M., E. R. Hamm, and R. D. Crisp, 1998, *Tank Waste Remediation System Configuration Management Plan,* HNF-1900, Rev. 0, prepared by Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation for Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTION SHEET

To	From	Page 1 of 1
Document Control Services	TWRS Configuration Management	Date January 3, 1998
Project Title/Work Order		EDT No.
Tank Waste Remediation Systems (HNF-1945)		ECN No. 644875

Name	MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text Only	Attach./ Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only
C.D. Acree, Jr	H6-35	X			
J.N. Alibert	S2-48	X			
P.R. Anglier	S7-82	X			
H.L. Boston	G3-21	X			
M.P. Delozier	R2-58	X			
M.D. Ebben	H7-07	X			
J.E. Ferguson	H8-71	X			
K.A. Gasper	H6-37	X			
M.L. Grygiel	H8-71	X			
J.O. Honeyman	G3-21	X			
K.N. Jordan	G3-21	X			
R.A. Kirkbride	H5-27	X			
S.C. Klimper	H6-25	X			
E.E. Mayer	R2-36	X			
D.M. McDaniel	H7-06	3 copies			
R.J. Murkowski	H6-37	X			
S.M. O'Toole	G3-21	X			
M.A. Payne	R2-58	X			
L.G. Peck	H6-35	4 copies			
M.L. Peterman	H7-06	X			
R.W. Powell	H5-03	X			
R.E. Raymond	R2-38	X			
S.H. Rifaey	R1-56	X			
B. Root	G3-21	X			
P.S. Schaus	H5-03	X			
S.E. Seeman	H6-35	X			
S.J. Simon	H7-06	X			
R.L. Treat	H5-03	X			
J.M. Vann	H6-37	X			
J.H. Wicks Jr	H7-07	X			
R.D. Wojtasek	G3-21	X			
B.D. Zimmerman	H6-35	10 copies			
Central Files	B1-07	X			

A-6000-135 (01/93) WEF067