

ALUMINUM REMOVAL FROM WASHED SLUDGE*

**B. Z. Egan and J. L. Collins
Chemical Technology Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6223**

**D. D. Ensor
Chemistry Department
Tennessee Technological University
Cookeville, Tennessee 38505**

**To be presented at the
Efficient Separations and Processing Integrated Program (ESPIP)
FY 1995 Technical Integration and Exchange (TIE) Meeting
January 24-26, 1995
Washington, DC Area**

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

***Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with the Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.**

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *De*

TECHNOLOGY SUMMARY

ALUMINUM REMOVAL FROM WASHED SLUDGE

Task Description

The purpose of this project is to reduce the volume of storage tank sludge to be treated by removing the aluminum and other nonradioactive components. Successful caustic dissolution and separation of aluminum and other components from solid tank waste could result in a simple process for substantially reducing the amount of waste requiring valuable repository space.

Specific tasks being addressed include: (1) preparation and testing of surrogate sludges; (2) measurement of the caustic dissolution behavior of selected sludge components; (3) optimization of the time, temperature, and NaOH concentration for dissolution of selected sludge components; (4) testing the caustic dissolution behavior of an actual sludge sample; and (5) evaluation of the feasibility of recovering the soluble components and recycle of the caustic solution.

Because of the difficulties in obtaining and working with actual radioactive waste sludge, several Hanford sludge surrogates are used in these studies. Samples of dried synthetic sludges are washed at different temperatures and for varying times with NaOH solutions ranging from 0.1 *M* to 6.0 *M*. Samples are analyzed for various elements, including aluminum, chromium, zinc, bismuth, cerium, strontium, zirconium, iron, uranium, and thorium.

Technology Needs

Storage tank sludge generally contains mixtures of hydrated metal oxides, hydroxides, and phosphates. Separation technology is the primary means to reduce the large volumes of storage tank waste to smaller volumes of more concentrated and well-defined waste. Aluminum and several other waste constituents are amphoteric. Consequently, in addition to forming insoluble hydroxides and oxides, these materials also have the potential of forming soluble chemical species under highly caustic conditions. There is increasing emphasis on removing these materials through "enhanced sludge washing." If these materials could be preferentially solubilized, then the volume of the remaining radioactive waste to be treated and/or stored would be significantly reduced.

Accomplishments and Future Work

In initial sludge surrogate studies, aluminum, chromium, and zinc showed the highest solubility in NaOH solutions. Cerium and zirconium were the least soluble of the elements tested. The removal of iron and bismuth approached 2%, but the rest of the elements studied showed <1% removal. The amount of aluminum removed from the sludge surrogates increased as the NaOH concentration increased from 0.1 to 6.0 *M*. Sequential washing of the sludge surrogate with 3.0 *M* NaOH removed 84% of the aluminum, 39% of the chromium, and 65% of the zinc.

Surrogate sludges containing uranium and thorium were also prepared, and their dissolution behavior was characterized. In one of the sludges the uranium was precipitated as a hydrous oxide. In another sludge, the uranium, along with calcium and magnesium, were precipitated as both hydrous oxides and

phosphates. In addition, samples of the sludges were "aged" by refluxing them at 100°C for several days in an aqueous solution at pH 10.

Kinetic data at 70°C showed that the dissolution of Cr, Fe, U, Bi, and Ca increased with time. Larger amounts of each of these elements, as well as aluminum, were removed at 70°C compared to room temperature.

Initial results were obtained on the caustic dissolution of an actual sludge sample from ORNL Melton Valley Storage Tank (MVST) W-25. As with the Hanford surrogate sludges, the most leachable elements were aluminum, chromium, and zinc. However, the percentage removal was much less from the MVST W-25 sample.

Preparation and testing of additional sludge surrogates is continuing. These tests will be used to measure the dissolution behavior of additional components such as silicon and phosphates. Studies on MVST W-25 sludge will be extended, and efforts are also being made to obtain samples of Hanford sludge for testing.

Benefits

The technology developed in this project would be of potential benefit to several DOE sites. Separating the nonradioactive elements would result in a much smaller amount of solid tank waste to be managed or handled in downstream processing, and in a substantial reduction in the amount of waste requiring valuable repository space.

Collaboration

Tennessee Technological University, Dale D. Ensor

For further information, please contact:

B. Zane Egan
Principal Investigator
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
(615) 574-6868

Anthony P. Malinauskas
Technical Project Manager
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
(615) 576-1092

J. O. Moore
DOE Technical Program Officer
DOE-OR
P. O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37381
(615) 576-3536

TTP Number: OR132012

Bibliography

Patrick S. Callahan, Dale D. Ensor, and B. Z. Egan, "Aluminum Removal from Simulated Waste," presented at the 207th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, San Diego, California, March 13-18, 1994.

Dale D. Ensor, Patrick S. Callahan, and B. Z. Egan, "Metal Removal from Simulated Radioactive Sludge by Alkali Washing," presented at the 18th Annual Actinide Separations Conference, Durango, Colorado, May 23-26, 1994.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
